

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/961,081	OFEK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Richard Chang	2616	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Richard Chang.

(3) David Sitrick.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 October 2006

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 71.*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 71 has a mistype as it is depending on the canceled claim 32. During the last amendment, the limitation of the canceled claim 32 had already been merged into its parental claim 31. To correct the mistype, claim 71 is now depending on claim 31.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)